

Review article: drug hepatotoxicity

C. Y. CHANG & T. D. SCHIANO

The Division of Liver Diseases,
Department of Internal Medicine, The
Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New
York, NY, USA

Correspondence to:

Dr C. Y. Chang, Division of Liver
Diseases, The Mount Sinai School of
Medicine, One Gustave Levy Place,
Box 1104, New York, NY 10029-6574,
USA

E-mail: charissa.chang@mssm.edu

Publication data

Submitted 4 December 2006

First decision 15 December 2006

Resubmitted 22 February 2007

Accepted 25 February 2007

SUMMARY

Background

Drug toxicity is the leading cause of acute liver failure in the United States. Further understanding of hepatotoxicity is becoming increasingly important as more drugs come to market.

Aims

(i) To provide an update on recent advances in our understanding of hepatotoxicity of select commonly used drug classes. (ii) To assess the safety of these medications in patients with pre-existing liver disease and in the post-liver transplant setting. (iii) To review relevant advances in toxicogenomics which contribute to the current understanding of hepatotoxic drugs.

Methods

A Medline search was performed to identify relevant literature using search terms including 'drug toxicity, hepatotoxicity, statins, thiazolidinediones, antibiotics, antiretroviral drugs and toxicogenomics'.

Results

Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid is one of the most frequently implicated causes of drug-induced liver injury worldwide. Statins rarely cause clinically significant liver injury, even in patients with underlying liver disease. Newer thiazolidinediones are not associated with the degree of liver toxicity observed with troglitazone. Careful monitoring for liver toxicity is warranted in patients who are taking antiretrovirals, especially patients who are co-infected with hepatitis B and C. Genetic polymorphisms among enzymes involved in drug metabolism and HLA types may account for some of the differences in individual susceptibility to drug hepatotoxicity.

Conclusions

Drug-induced hepatotoxicity will remain a problem that carries both clinical and regulatory significance as long as new drugs continue to enter the market. Future results from ongoing multicentre collaborative efforts may help contribute to our current understanding of hepatotoxicity associated with drugs.

Aliment Pharmacol Ther 25, 1135–1151

INTRODUCTION

Drug hepatotoxicity (due to acetaminophen overdose and idiosyncratic drug reactions) is the leading cause of acute liver failure (ALF) in the United States.¹ While the overall incidence of drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is infrequent (one in 10 000 to 100 000 persons exposed),² the impact is significant. Only 20% of patients presenting with ALF because of DILI survive with supportive care; therefore, early diagnosis and referral for liver transplantation is crucial.¹ At a regulatory level, hepatotoxicity is the main reason for postmarketing regulatory decisions including drug withdrawal.³ Doctors involved in administering new medications must weigh potential risks vs. benefits and be aware of appropriate monitoring guidelines for hepatotoxicity. Factors which limit our understanding of drug hepatotoxicity include the relatively rare incidence of toxicity for most drugs, lack of animal models, underreporting and practical issues of drug-drug interactions which can confound the establishment of causality in cases of suspected toxicity.

Diagnosis of drug hepatotoxicity may sometimes be evident based on a temporal relationship between initiation of a drug followed by liver chemistry test elevations, especially in the case of medications which are classically associated with drug hepatotoxicity (i.e. isoniazid, augmentin, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, phenytoin). Classification of drug-related hepatotoxicity can be delineated based on the pattern of liver chemistry test abnormalities (i.e. hepatocellular, cholestatic or mixed),^{4, 5} the mechanism of toxicity (i.e. direct, immune-mediated, idiosyncratic, mitochondrial toxicity), or by histological findings on liver biopsy (i.e. steatosis, sinusoidal obstruction syndrome). As a general rule, clinically significant DILI is often defined as ALT >3 times the upper limit of normal (ULN).⁶ Jaundice associated with aminotransferase elevation portends a worse prognosis compared with aminotransferase elevation alone.^{7, 8} Table 1 shows a classification of the different types of DILI and drugs that have been associated with each other.

The most commonly implicated drugs involved in acute liver injury as reported from recent studies are summarized in Table 2. Acetaminophen accounts for the majority of cases of drug-induced ALF in the United States.^{9, 10} Antimicrobial agents and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) account for a large portion of non-acetaminophen-associated DILI. A complete review of all common classes of

Table 1. Classification of drug induced liver injury and drugs which have been associated with each pattern

Pattern of liver injury	Associated drugs
Acute	
Hepatocellular (ALT >3× ULN)	Acarbose Acetaminophen Allopurinol Bupropion Bromfenac Diclofenac Fluoxetine Isoniazid Ketoconazole Lisinopril Losartan Nefazodone Nevirapine Paroxetine Pyrazinamide Rifampin Risperidone Ritonavir Sertraline Statins Tetracycline Trazodone Troglitazone Trovaflaxacin Valproic acid
Cholestatic (AP >2× ULN, ALT/AP <2)	Amoxicillin/clavulanate Anabolic steroids Azathioprine Chlorpromazine Clopidogrel Cytarabine Erythromycin Estrogen Fosinopril Irbesartan Phenothiazines Sulindac Terbinafine Tricyclics
Mixed (elevated AP and ALT)	Amitriptylline Azathioprine Captopril Carbamazepine Clindamycin Cyprohepatadine Enalapril Flutamide Ibuprofen Nitrofurantoin Phenobarbital Phenytoin

Table 1. (Continued)

Pattern of liver injury	Associated drugs
	Sulfonamides Trazodone Trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole Verapamil
Chronic	
Steatohepatitis	Amiodarone, tamoxifen
Microvesicular steatosis	NRTIs, valproic acid, tetracycline
Granulomatous hepatitis	Diltiazem, sulfa drugs, quinidine
Sinusoidal obstruction syndrome	Busulfan, cyclophosphamide
Fibrosis	Methotrexate
Hepatic adenoma	Oral contraceptives
Autoimmune hepatitis	Nitrofurantoin, minocycline

potentially hepatotoxic drugs is beyond the scope of a single review. Rather, the purpose of the current review is to highlight updates on select classes of drugs commonly used in patients with metabolic syndrome/underlying fatty liver disease, viral hepatitis and HIV. We chose to highlight statins, thiazolidinediones (TZD) and antiretroviral agents because of their relevance as agents in which the risk vs. benefit ratio can be difficult to discern in individuals with underlying liver disease. Two antimicrobial agents, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid and telithromycin, will also be addressed in the context of recent updates. Other drugs commonly associated with hepatotoxicity (i.e. acetaminophen, isoniazid, propylthiouracil and NSAIDs) will not be addressed as they have been well-reviewed elsewhere¹¹⁻¹³ although it is worth noting that these account for a large proportion of cases of drug-induced ALF⁹ and should not be overlooked.

STATINS

Statins are prescribed commonly for hyperlipidaemia and play an important role in the prevention of coronary artery disease. Rising trends in obesity and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) have resulted in a common scenario in which a carer is faced with deciding whether or not to start a statin in a patient with metabolic syndrome, hyperlipidaemia, NAFLD and baseline aminotransferase elevations. While mild aminotransferase elevations occur in patients taking

statins, clinically significant elevation leading to ALF is extremely rare, and evidence suggests that hepatotoxicity due to statins has been overstated.¹⁴

Asymptomatic mild aminotransferase elevation associated with statin use is generally dose-related, occurs within the first 12 weeks of therapy, and improves spontaneously in many cases.¹⁵ The incidence of dose-related mild (2–3× ULN) aminotransferase elevation associated with statins ranges from 0% to 3%.¹⁶ Moderate to severe ALT elevation (ALT >3× ULN) can occur with statins; however, rates are low and have not been shown to differ significantly from placebo in several trials. A meta-analysis involving a total of 49 275 patients enrolled in 13 placebo-controlled statin trials reported no significant difference in the overall incidence of LFT elevation >3× ULN in statin users (pravastatin, lovastatin, simvastatin, fluvastatin) compared with placebo (statins 1.1% vs. placebo 1.1%, OR 1.3, 95% CI: 0.99–1.62). The Pravastatin Pooling Project reported a 0.3% incidence of ALT elevation between 3 and 5× ULN in 9185 individuals who received Pravastatin compared with a 0.2% incidence in the placebo arm.¹⁷ Severe ALT elevation (>9× ULN) among statin users was also no different compared with placebo in the Pravastatin Pooling Project (0.2% in statin users vs. 0.1% in placebo). A case-control study by Chalasani reported similarly low rates of severe ALT elevation in statin users (0.6% incidence of ALT >10× ULN) which did not differ significantly from non-users (0.2%, *P* = 0.2).

In contrast to mild asymptomatic aminotransferase elevation with statins, ALF secondary to statins is rare and likely occurs through an idiosyncratic mechanism. The rate of ALF associated with lovastatin, the first approved statin, is one per 1–1.1 million patient-treatment years, which is the same as the background rate of idiopathic ALF.^{15, 18} Statins were identified as the cause of fulminant hepatic failure in only three of 51 741 liver transplant recipients in the United States from 1990 to 2002.⁹ While rare cases of ALF have been described with all statins, there is no evidence to suggest that periodic monitoring of liver chemistry tests predicts ALF, and routine monitoring of liver tests may result in high false-positive rates and unnecessary discontinuation of a drug that might otherwise be beneficial.

Statins have been associated with autoimmune hepatitis in several case reports.¹⁹⁻²³ Clinical features in these case reports range from minimal fibrosis on biopsy with normalization of aminotransferases

Table 2. Drugs associated with drug induced liver injury (DILI)			
Author	Study design	<i>n</i>	Drugs (number of associated cases)
De Valle (2006)	Retrospective cohort 1995–2005 Out-patient acute DILI Single centre, Sweden	77	1. Diclofenac (14) 2. Flucloxacillin (8) 3. Azathioprine (5) 4. Atorvastatin (4) 5. Ciprofloxacin (4) 6. Macrolides (3) 7. Nitrofurantoin (2) 8. Clindamycin (2) 9. Disulfiram (2)
Andrade <i>et al.</i> (2005)	Prospective cohort 1994–2004 In-patient and out-patient acute DILI Multicentre, Spain	446	1. Amoxicillin/clavulanate (59) 2. Ebrotidine (22) 3. INH + RIP + PIZ (18) 4. Ibuprofen (18) 5. Flutamide (17) 6. Ticlopidine (13) 7. Isoniazid (9) 8. Medicinal herbs (9) 9. Nimesulide (9) 10. Carbamazepine (8) 11. Bentazepam (7) 12. Tetrabamate (7) 13. Azathioprine (6) 14. Erythromycin (6) 15. Paroxetine (6) 16. Valproic acid (5) 17. Trovafloxacin (5) 18. Thiamazole (5)
Galan <i>et al.</i> (2005)	Retrospective cohort 1993–2002 Acute non-fulminant drug-induced hepatitis Out-patients referred to single tertiary centre, United States	32	1. Amiodarone (7) 2. Amoxicillin/clavulanate (4) 3. Minocycline (4) 4. Nitrofurantoin (3)
Bjornsson (2005)	Retrospective cohort 1966–2002 Acute DILI leading to death Multicentre, Sweden	103	1. Halothane (16) 2. Paracetamol (12) 3. Flucloxacillin (7) 4. TMP-SMX (6) 5. Diclofenac (4) 6. Naproxen (3) 7. Ciprofloxacin (3) 8. Disulfiram (3) 9. Sulfonamides (3)
Abajo (2004)	Retrospective case-control 1994–1999 Acute DILI Population-based registry, UK	128	1. Amoxicillin/clavulanate (13) 2. Diclofenac (10) 3. Chlorpromazine (6) 4. Tetracycline (6) 5. Metoclopramide (5) 6. Flucloxacillin (4) 7. Sulfasalazine (4) 8. Erythromycin (4)

Table 2. (Continued)

Author	Study design	n	Drugs (number of associated cases)
Sgro <i>et al.</i> (2002)	Prospective cohort 1997–2000 In-patient and out-patient acute DILI Primary care and referral practitioners in France	34	1. Amoxicillin/clavulanate (4) 2. Nevirapine (3) 3. Atorvastatin (3) 4. Ibuprofen (2) 5. Fenofibrate (2)
Russo <i>et al.</i> (2004)	Retrospective cohort 1990–2002 Acute drug-induced liver failure leading to liver transplant UNOS database	270	1. APAP (124) 2. Isoniazid (24) 3. Prophythiouracil (13) 4. Phenytoin (10) 5. Nitrofurantoin (7) 6. Herbal (7) 7. Ketoconazole(6) 8. Disulfiram(6) 9. Troglitazone(4) 10. Halothane, galuridine, sulfasalazine, combination of non-APAP drugs, methyldopa (3 each) 11. Nefazodone, labetalol, cerivastatin (2 each)

Case definitions and sample population vary among studies. Only drugs associated with more than one case in each study are listed.

following treatment with prednisone alone²³ to a lupus-like syndrome with rash, hepatic failure and improvement only with institution of triple immunosuppressive therapy with tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil and prednisolone.²² The cases reviewed by Alla *et al.* were associated with ALT elevation up to 10–20× ULN and jaundice despite discontinuation of statin therapy. Liver biopsies showed advanced fibrosis and all responded to treatment with prednisone and tacrolimus, azathioprine or mycophenolate mofetil. Among four patients in whom HLA typing was available, all four were positive for HLA-DR3, DR4 or DR7.¹⁹ The overall incidence of autoimmune hepatitis due to statins is rare; however, the diagnosis should be considered when aminotransferase elevation is associated with jaundice or other autoimmune features (elevated antinuclear antibody or antismooth muscle antibody titres, skin rash), or when liver test elevations persist despite discontinuation of a statin.

Safety of statins in patients with underlying liver disease

There is no clear evidence to date that suggests that patients with underlying liver disease are at increased risk for hepatotoxicity from statins. The early statin

trials excluded patients with abnormal baseline liver chemistry tests, which led to uncertainty regarding the initiation of statins in patients with underlying liver disease. In a case-control study, Chalasani compared rates of aminotransferase elevation following initiation of statin therapy among patients with normal and abnormal baseline aminotransferases. When patients with elevated baseline liver tests were compared to patients with normal baseline levels, the incidence of elevations in liver enzymes was higher. However, when patients with elevated baseline liver tests treated with statins were compared to a third group of patients with elevated baseline tests who were not started on a statin, there was no difference in liver enzyme elevations.²⁴ This suggested that patients with underlying liver disease have regular fluctuations in their liver tests, and that there is no increased risk of hepatotoxicity with statin use in patients with underlying liver disease. Another recently published case-control study based on Dallas Heart Study participants supports the safety of statin use in patients with underlying hepatic steatosis.²⁵ Safety of statins in patients with underlying hepatitis C (HCV) infection has also been demonstrated in a recent Veterans Administration-based study, where there was no difference in moderate or severe aminotransferase elevations

among patients with HCV who were administered statins when compared to patients without HCV who were administered statins.²⁶ The existing evidence suggests that statins should not be withheld in patients with underlying chronic HCV or NAFLD when there is a clear indication for statin use.

Statins have been shown to be safe in patients following liver transplantation,²⁷ in whom the prevalence of hyperlipidaemia ranges from 16% to 43%.²⁸ Caution is advised regarding potential interactions between statins and other drugs that are metabolized by the CYP3A4 system, including ciclosporin. Of all the statins, pravastatin is not extensively metabolized by the CYP3A4 system, whereas atorvastatin, lovastatin and simvastatin are metabolized by CYP3A4.²⁹ Although hyperlipidaemia associated with primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC) has not been shown to be associated with an increased risk of atherosclerosis,^{30, 31} small studies have demonstrated the safety of statins in patients with underlying PBC to treat hypercholesterolaemia.³²

Role of liver chemistry test monitoring

Current recommendations advocate monitoring of liver chemistry tests at 12 weeks following initiation of statin therapy and at least annually thereafter.³³ The utility of periodic liver chemistry test monitoring in patients treated with statins has been challenged by a recent expert panel³⁴ as well as others.^{14, 15, 35, 36} Routine monitoring of liver tests are unlikely to predict rare idiosyncratic toxicity, and premature termination of statins may deprive patients who would otherwise benefit from their use. Furthermore, limited studies suggest additional benefit of statins in patients with underlying liver disease beyond cardiovascular effects. A few pilot studies have shown improved histology in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) treated with statins.^{37, 38} In addition, a recent study showed *in vitro* evidence of anti-viral activity of statins in a model of HCV replication when used in conjunction with interferon.³⁹ Statins may also have beneficial immunomodulating effects in transplanted patients, although this is debatable.^{40, 41}

Other lipid-lowering agents

Ezetimibe (Zetia) inhibits intestinal uptake of cholesterol and has been used alone or in conjunction with other lipid-lowering agents (Vytorin) for management of hyperlipidaemia. Clinical trials of ezetimibe in

conjunction with statins demonstrated a higher (1.3%) rate of aminotransferase elevation (>3× ULN) compared with statins alone (0.4%).⁴² Two non-fatal cases of hepatotoxicity with ezetimibe used in conjunction with simvastatin have been reported recently.⁴³ Cholestatic hepatitis was described in one patient and a steroid-responsive autoimmune hepatitis was described in another. Prior to these reports, no reports of symptomatic hepatotoxicity had been reported among clinical trials of ezetimibe monotherapy in 666 subjects⁴⁴ and combination ezetimibe–statin in 379^{45, 90}, 900⁴⁶ and 305⁴⁷ subjects. To our knowledge, no trials to date have explored the safety of ezetimibe in patients with underlying liver disease. While all of the lipid-lowering agents have been associated with some degree of hepatotoxicity, sustained release niacin is worth mentioning due to its association with a high rate of symptomatic hepatotoxicity including fulminant hepatic failure and thus should be avoided.^{48, 49} Therefore, substitution of other lipid-lowering agents in place of statins does not necessarily obviate the risk of hepatotoxicity.

THIAZOLIDINEDIONES

The TZDs are a class of insulin-sensitizing drugs used to treat diabetes mellitus through activation of the gamma isoform of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR γ). TZDs lower serum glucose and insulin levels, improve peripheral glucose uptake, and decrease triglyceride levels. Troglitazone, the first approved TZD, was withdrawn from the market in 2000 following 94 reported cases of liver failure.⁵⁰ An idiosyncratic mechanism of toxicity was suggested based on the delayed (3–7 months) onset of ALT elevation and a lack of dose effect. Rosiglitazone and pioglitazone, so-called second-generation TZDs, were introduced into the market by the time troglitazone was withdrawn. In early clinical trials of rosiglitazone and pioglitazone, rates of AST elevation >3 times the ULN were no different compared with placebo. Since then, case reports of hepatotoxicity with both pioglitazone^{51, 52} and rosiglitazone^{53–55} have been published, including one report of fulminant hepatic failure with pioglitazone,⁵⁶ one case of granulomatous hepatitis with rosiglitazone⁵⁷ and one case of fatal liver failure with long-term rosiglitazone use.⁵⁸ All but one of the patients recovered following discontinuation of the drug. Baseline and periodic monitoring of liver chemistry tests during therapy with rosiglitazone and pioglitazone is advised by the

manufacturers, along with recommendations to discontinue the drug if ALT levels remain >3 times the ULN or if jaundice occurs.^{59, 60} Use of rosiglitazone and pioglitazone in patients with a history of toxicity to troglitazone is not advised; however, it is somewhat debatable whether a true class effect exists.⁶¹

Safety in underlying liver disease

TZDs may show some promise in the treatment of NAFLD, a condition which is increasing in prevalence and for which no definitive pharmacological therapies are available.⁶² Pilot studies have demonstrated both biochemical and histological improvement in patients treated with TZDs for NASH.^{63–67} A total of 94 patients received either rosiglitazone or pioglitazone in these studies for a duration of 6 months to 48 weeks. Of these, two patients developed increase in ALT requiring discontinuation. Chalasani followed 210 diabetics with elevated baseline aminotransferases (ALT 1–2.5× ULN) who received rosiglitazone for 12 months and noted no increased incidence of liver chemistry test elevations (10-fold elevation compared with baseline) when compared to diabetics with normal liver enzyme tests at baseline.⁶⁸ In a pooled study of Phase 2/3 trials of rosiglitazone in Type 2 diabetic patients, the incidence of ALT elevation >3× ULN was 1.4% in patients with baseline ALT elevation (1.0–2.5× ULN) compared to 0.25% in patients with normal liver tests at baseline ($P = 0.01$). Conversely though, 83% of patients with elevated liver tests at baseline had a decrease in ALT while taking rosiglitazone.⁶⁹ The combined evidence suggests that TZDs are probably safe in patients with baseline liver chemistry abnormalities, and may actually improve liver tests due to an improvement in underlying fatty liver disease; however, it is still prudent to follow liver tests closely in patients treated with TZDs. There is not enough evidence to date to recommend long-term TZD therapy in NASH; however, TZDs should not be withheld in diabetics with minor LFT elevations (<2.5 ULN) in the setting of NASH, especially given the potential beneficial effects. Rosiglitazone (Avandia) has been used safely to treat diabetes mellitus in liver transplant patients.⁷⁰

Other antidiabetic agents: metformin

Metformin is an oral biguanide hypoglycaemic agent used in the treatment of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Rare hepatotoxicity from metformin

has been described in three case reports.^{71–73} The case reports suggested an idiosyncratic mechanism, and both cholestatic and hepatocellular toxicity were described. Lactic acidosis is a rare complication associated with metformin use. The overall rate is 3–5 cases per 100 000 patient-years.^{74, 75} Hepatic impairment is cited as a risk factor for lactic acidosis; however, pre-existing cardiac disease and renal insufficiency are more commonly implicated risk factors.⁷⁵ Metformin is probably safe in the setting of mild hepatic impairment (Child's Class A cirrhosis) and should not be withheld in patients with liver disease who have clear indications for its use. However, metformin should be avoided in patients with significant hepatic impairment (Child's B or C cirrhosis) due a potential increased risk of lactic acidosis.

ANTIRETROVIRALS

The overall incidence of hepatotoxicity in patients receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) ranges from 3% to 18%.^{76, 77} The incidence of irreversible liver failure leading to death or liver transplantation is uncommon though, and varies in the literature from 1.1 per 1000 person-years to 1.1 per 100 person-years.^{78, 79} Hepatotoxicity as defined by aminotransferase elevation is generally classified according to a standardized grading system developed by the AIDS Clinical Trials Group.⁸⁰ In clinical trials, significant hepatotoxicity often refers to Grade 3 (5.1–10× ULN) or Grade 4 (>10× ULN) elevations in AST and ALT. Patients with elevated pre-treatment AST and ALT, as seen in individuals co-infected with HBV and HCV, are classified based on changes relative to baseline liver tests rather than ULN. All three classes of ART, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI), non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI) and protease inhibitors (PI) have been associated with hepatotoxicity. Some of the more common associations between antiretroviral drugs and hepatotoxicity are described below and are summarized in Table 3.

Protease inhibitors

All PIs are metabolized by the cytochrome P450 3A4 system and have been associated with hepatotoxicity. Currently approved PIs include indinavir (Crixivan), nelfinavir (Viracept), amprenavir (Agenerase), ritonavir (Norvir), saquinavir (Fortavase), lopinavir/ritonavir (Kaletra) and fosamprenavir (Lexiva). Newer PIs include atazanavir (Reyataz), tipranavir (Aptivus) and

Table 3. Antiretrovirals used to treat HIV and specific precautions with regard to hepatotoxicity, as organized by class

Antiretroviral class	Precautions with regard to hepatotoxicity
Protease inhibitors	
Ritonavir (Norvir)	Hepatotoxicity with high-dose ritonavir (600 mg b.d.)
Lopinavir/Ritonavir (Kaletra)	Less hepatotoxicity with low-dose ritonavir (<200 mg b.d.) used in PI boosting regimens
Amprenavir (Agenerase)	Avoid combination amprenavir-ritonavir (competing CYP 450 3A4 metabolism)
Saquinavir (Fortavase)	Indirect hyperbilirubinaemia with indinavir and atazanavir. Avoid combination of indinavir with atazanavir
Indinavir (Crixivan)	Severe hepatotoxicity with tipranavir has been reported. Caution is advised with use of tipranavir in patients with underlying liver disease
Fosamprenavir (Lexiva)	
Nelfinavir (Viracept)	
Atazanavir (Reyataz)	
Tipranavir (Aptivus)	
Darunavir (Prezista)	
Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI)	
Zalcitabine (ddC)	Lactic acidosis (especially with ddC, ddI, d4T)
Didanosine (ddi)	Avoid ddI-d4T combination
Stavudine (d4T)	Increased risk of lactic acidosis with ribavirin in conjunction with ddI or d4T
Lamivudine (3TC)	Avoid ribavirin-ddI combination in advanced fibrosis due to risk of hepatic decompensation
Zidovudine (AZT)	
Abacavir (Ziagen)	
Tenofovir (Viread)	
Abacavir/lamivudine/zidovudine (Trizivir)	
Abavavir/lamivudine (Epzicom)	
Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI)	
Nevirapine (Viramune)	Increased risk of hepatotoxicity with nevirapine in patients with HBV/HCV or in women with CD4 >350 or men with CD4 >400
Efavirenz (Sustiva)	
Delavirdine (Rescriptor)	

darunavir (Prezista). Among the PIs, high-dose ritonavir is associated with the highest incidence of hepatotoxicity, with most studies demonstrating a 3–9% incidence of severe hepatotoxicity.^{81–84} Lower doses of ritonavir (<200 mg twice daily) used in boosting regimens have largely replaced high-dose ritonavir and have not been associated with increased hepatotoxicity^{81, 85} except when used with amprenavir.⁸⁶ Tipranavir, a newer PI, has been associated with reports of severe hepatotoxicity.⁸⁷ A black box warning was issued in June 2006 warning of an increased risk of hepatitis and hepatic decompensation in patients taking tipranavir and ritonavir, especially in patients with HBV or HCV co-infection.

Both indinavir and atazanavir have been associated with asymptomatic indirect hyperbilirubinaemia due to competitive inhibition of bilirubin uridine diphosphate (UDP)-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT).^{88, 89}

Homozygosity for the UGT1A1*28 genetic allele associated with Gilbert's syndrome increases the risk of hyperbilirubinaemia from indinavir and atazanavir.⁸⁸ Another allele, UGT1A1*6, has been shown to be associated with hyperbilirubinaemia in Thai patients treated with indinavir.⁹⁰ Co-infection with viral hepatitis has not been associated as a risk factor for hyperbilirubinaemia in indinavir users.⁹¹ Current guidelines recommend avoiding use of indinavir in combination with atazanavir.⁸⁶ Dose adjustments and contraindications to PI use in patients with underlying liver disease are outlined in Table 4.

Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors

Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors include zalcitabine (ddC, Hivid), didanosine (ddI, Videx), stavudine (d4T, Zerit), lamivudine (3TC, EpiVir), zidovudine

Table 4. Antiretrovirals which require dose adjustments or which should be avoided in patients with cirrhosis having moderate to severe hepatic impairment (Child Turcotte Pugh Class B-C). Adopted from (86)

Antiretroviral	Usual dose	Dosing in hepatic impairment
Protease inhibitors		
Amprenavir	1400 mg b.d.	Avoid use in hepatic failure
Atazanavir	400 mg q.d.s.	CTP Class B: 300 mg daily CTP Class C: not recommended
Fosamprenavir	1400 mg b.d.	CTP Class B: 700 mg b.d. CTP Class C: not recommended
Indinavir	800 mg q8h	Mild to moderate hepatic insufficiency: 600 mg q8h
Tipranavir	500 mg b.d. with ritonavir 200 mg b.d.	CTP Class B and C: combination tipranavir/ritonavir is contraindicated
NNRTIs		
Nevirapine	200 mg b.d.	Avoid use in moderate to severe hepatic impairment

(AZT, Retrovir), abacavir (Ziagen), emtricitabine (Emtriva) and tenofovir (Viread).

As a class, NRTIs have been associated with hepatic steatosis and lactic acidosis. The spectrum of hyperlactataemia associated with NRTIs ranges from asymptomatic mild lactate elevation to a rare but potentially fatal lactic acidosis syndrome (LAS). Asymptomatic hyperlactataemia without metabolic acidosis is common in HIV-infected patients (8–18%), is often transient, and is non-specific for current NRTI use.⁹² Lactate levels are usually between 2 and 5 mM and significant injury is uncommon. This should be distinguished from LAS, which is characterized by lactate levels >5 mM, metabolic acidosis and liver dysfunction which can lead to death or the need for liver transplantation. Liver histology demonstrates mixed microvesicular and macrovesicular steatosis.^{93, 94} The incidence of LAS is rare (1.3–3.9 cases per 1000 patient-years).⁹² Mortality is high and approaches 100% in some series. Once LAS is identified, prompt discontinuation of NRTI is warranted.

The mechanism of NRTI-associated lactic acidosis is hypothesized to involve mitochondrial toxicity. *In vitro* studies demonstrate that mitochondrial polymerase gamma, the enzyme responsible for replication of mitochondrial DNA, is variably inhibited by NRTIs according to the following order: zalcitabine (ddC) > didanosine (ddI) > stavudine (d4T) > lamivudine (3TC) > zidovudine (AZT) > abacavir.⁹⁵ In theory, this might explain the higher rates of lactic acidosis observed with stavudine, zalcitabine and didanosine. Current recommendations advise against co-administration of didanosine and stavudine⁸⁶ due to an

increased risk of lactic acidosis. Among HCV/HIV co-infected patients, administration of ribavirin in conjunction with didanosine or stavudine has been associated with mitochondrial toxicity and lactic acidosis.⁹⁶ Lesser rates of hepatotoxicity have been observed with abacavir, lamivudine and tenofovir.

Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors

The NNRTI class of antiretroviral agents includes nevirapine (Viramune), efavirenz (Sustiva) and delavirdine (Rescriptor). Of these, nevirapine warrants particular attention with regard to hepatotoxicity. Nevirapine toxicity may manifest as a rash-associated hypersensitivity reaction (with or without concurrent hepatotoxicity) within the first few weeks of starting therapy in 2.3% of patients.⁹⁷ A second, late onset toxicity related to cumulative dose over time^{98, 99} is more commonly observed than a hypersensitivity reaction.^{81, 100} Rare cases of hepatic failure leading to liver transplantation and death¹⁰¹ have been reported with nevirapine. Risk factors for hepatotoxicity include co-infection with HBV or HCV^{99, 100} and higher CD4 counts associated with use in postexposure prophylaxis regimens. Current guidelines recommend avoiding nevirapine in women with CD4 counts >250 and in men with CD4 counts >400.⁸⁶

Two studies demonstrate a significantly lower risk of hepatotoxicity with efavirenz-based regimens compared with nevirapine-based regimens,^{100, 102} whereas another study showed no difference.¹⁰³ Efavirenz has been safely substituted in patients who developed hepatotoxicity with nevirapine, suggesting that hepatotoxicity due to nevirapine is not class-specific.¹⁰⁴

Less data are available with delvirapine; however, one study suggests at least a similar safety profile compared with efavirenz.¹⁰³

Role of hepatitis C and hepatitis B

The prevalence of co-infection with HBV and HCV among persons with HIV is 10–15% and 30–50%, respectively. HBV and HCV co-infection is an independent risk factor for ART-associated hepatotoxicity and is associated with a greater than twofold risk of ART-associated aminotransferase elevation.^{91, 105–107} A large, Veterans Administration-based cohort study demonstrated a twofold increased risk of fulminant hepatic failure in patients co-infected with HCV and HIV when compared with HIV alone.⁷⁸

Aminotransferases should be monitored closely in patients treated for HIV/HBV or HIV/HCV co-infection. An elevation in liver chemistry tests should not only raise suspicion of drug toxicity, but should also prompt an evaluation to rule out causes associated with viral hepatitis, including immune reconstitution in the setting of HCV co-infection, and HBV flares following discontinuation of emcitritabine, lamivudine or tenofovir (these have activity against HBV as well as HIV). Drug combinations which should be avoided in HCV co-infected persons undergoing treatment for HCV include didanosine–ribavirin (increased risk of lactic acidosis) and zidovudine–ribavirin (increased risk of anaemia).⁸⁶ ARTs which require dose adjustments or which should be avoided in cirrhotic patients having moderate to severe hepatic impairment (Child Turcotte Pugh Class B–C) include nevirapine, amprenavir, atazanavir, fosamprenavir, indinavir and tipranavir. Recommendations are summarized in Table 4.

HAART in liver transplant patients

The success of HAART has led to longer survival of individuals with HIV and the emergence of end-stage liver disease as a leading cause of death among HIV-infected persons.¹⁰⁸ Once thought to be contraindicated in individuals with HIV, liver transplantation is now performed in carefully selected HIV-positive individuals. PIs and NNRTIs both inhibit and induce cytochrome P450 enzymes, whereas NRTIs are not metabolized by P450 enzymes. This is important in liver transplant recipients who commonly receive calcineurin inhibitor-based immunosuppression regimens using ciclosporin or tacrolimus, which are metabolized

by cytochrome CYP3A4. Literature describing interactions between HAART and immunosuppression regimens in liver transplant patients is limited to small case series describing patients on mostly NRTI- and PI-based HAART regimens. Among the PIs, nelfinavir and combination lopinavir/ritonavir in particular have been reported to increase tacrolimus levels.^{109–111} Transplanted individuals taking both tacrolimus and PIs may require up to a 10- to 50-fold reduction in tacrolimus dosing to maintain therapeutic levels. Vigilant monitoring of tacrolimus levels following cessation of HAART therapy is important. Acute withdrawal of a PI can result in a sudden decrease in tacrolimus concentration followed by graft loss if timely dose adjustments are not made.¹¹² Nelfinavir has been shown to increase sirolimus levels in an HIV-positive individual who underwent liver transplantation.¹⁰⁹ Less is known about interactions between HAART therapy and other immunosuppression regimens. NRTI-based regimens in co-infected liver transplant patients should avoid use of zalcitabine (ddC), didanosine (ddI) or stavudine (d4T).

Recommendations

Liver tests should be monitored closely in all patients commencing ART. The first 4–6 weeks following initiation of therapy warrant vigilant monitoring for development of hypersensitivity reactions, when early diagnosis and discontinuation of the drug must be timely. Lactic acidosis occurs later during the course of therapy and may be either asymptomatic or, if accompanied by metabolic acidosis, potentially fatal. A liver biopsy demonstrating microvesicular steatosis may support evidence of NRTI-associated mitochondrial toxicity. Throughout the course of ART therapy, liver chemistry tests should be monitored regularly. Any increase in aminotransferases should prompt a search to exclude all causes of hepatotoxicity, especially concurrent HBV/HCV infection and other prescription or non-prescription (i.e. herbal or alternative) medications. In general, a threshold aminotransferase elevation of 5–10× ULN should prompt discontinuation of ART. Caution is warranted in patients co-infected with HBV and HCV, who have a higher risk of ART-associated hepatotoxicity, and who have underlying hepatic impairment. Nelfinavir and PIs in particular may interfere with tacrolimus levels in the HIV-positive liver transplant recipient. Individuals with HIV who undergo liver transplantation should

have vigilant monitoring of immunosuppression levels following initiation or discontinuation of HAART.

ANTIBIOTICS

Antibiotics are a commonly implicated cause of DILI. A recent single US centre experience reported antibiotics as the class of drugs most frequently implicated in non-fulminant drug-induced hepatitis.¹¹³ Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, minocycline, nitrofurantoin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and trovafloxacin were the most frequently implicated antibiotics. Antibiotics were cited as the most frequent cause of DILI in a recent Spanish registry,³ French study,¹¹⁴ and United Kingdom study.¹¹⁵ All forms of histological injury ranging from cholestasis (amoxicillin/clavulanic acid) to auto-immune hepatitis (minocycline) to ALF (telithromycin) have been described.

Augmentin

Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (augmentin) is the most frequently reported antibiotic associated with drug-induced hepatotoxicity.^{3, 114, 115} The overall rate of symptomatic hepatitis due to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid is estimated at <1 in 100 000 persons exposed.¹¹⁶ The typical pattern of hepatotoxicity is a cholestatic reaction that develops 1–4 weeks after cessation of therapy.^{117–120} However, delayed onset of symptoms can be seen up to 8 weeks following discontinuation of therapy^{118, 120} and prolonged cholestasis with ductopenia following cessation of therapy has also been described.¹²¹ A recent large prospective case series involving 69 patients with amoxicillin-clavulanate hepatotoxicity suggested that the type of hepatic injury observed varies according to the time from onset of therapy, where hepatocellular injury predominates at 1 week, cholestatic injury at 2–3 weeks and mixed liver injury after 3 weeks. There was a 7% probability of an unfavourable outcome (death, liver transplant or persistent liver damage) and a 3% probability of a severe (death or liver transplantation) outcome in this series.¹²⁰ Immunological idiosyncrasy associated with certain HLA haplotypes may play a role in the pathogenesis.¹²²

Telithromycin (Ketek)

Telithromycin is the first FDA approved agent of the ketolide class of antibiotics. It was first approved in 2004 for use in respiratory tract infections, including

pneumonia, sinusitis and bacterial exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. Ketolides are semisynthetic derivatives of macrolide antibiotics that have been designed to overcome macrolide resistance. Rates of aminotransferase elevation >3 times the ULN associated with telithromycin are 2% and the reported rate of reversible hepatitis is 0.07%.¹²³

In January 2006, three reported cases of severe hepatotoxicity occurring with telithromycin¹²⁴ prompted the FDA to issue a label revision warning regarding potential severe liver injury. The case reports describe three patients who developed jaundice and elevated liver enzyme tests within 2–7 days of starting telithromycin. In one case, liver chemistry tests rose to over 10 times the ULN and normalized within 8 weeks after stopping therapy. A second case required orthotopic liver transplantation and a third patient died. Three additional cases have been reported to the FDA Medwatch. A recent editorial compared the reporting rate of ALF with telithromycin as being greater than trovafloxacin and troglitazone, and similar to rates reported with bromfenac.¹²⁵ Telithromycin remains on the market at the time of this writing. A label update by the FDA in February 2007 removed bronchitis and sinusitis as indications for its use in the setting of safety concerns. It is now indicated only for community acquired pneumonia.

TOXICOGENOMICS

Hepatic biotransformation of drugs involves several steps which include oxidation by cytochrome P450 enzymes followed by conjugations through enzymes including *N*-acetyltransferase and glutathione transferase. Genetic polymorphisms among enzymes involved in drug metabolism account for some of the differences in individual susceptibility to drug hepatotoxicity. Certain HLA haplotypes may also predispose individuals to immune-mediated hepatitis. Polymorphisms which have been associated with an increased risk of drug hepatotoxicity are summarized in Table 5.

Cytochrome P450

Ethnic variations in cytochrome P450 enzyme isotypes including CYP2D6 and CYP2C19¹²⁶ contribute to an interesting yet complex canvas from which to understand predictors of drug hepatotoxicity. CYP2D6 deficiency has been associated with perhexiline hepatotoxicity, is inherited in an autosomal recessive

Enzyme/HLA allele	Prevalence	Drug associated with hepatotoxicity
CYP 2D6 deficiency	8–10% Europe <2% Chinese, Japanese, African American	Perhexiline ¹²⁷
CYP 2C19 deficiency	3–5% Caucasians 20% Asians	ATRIUM ¹³⁰ Troglitazone ¹³¹
<i>N</i> -Acetyltransferase: slow acetylator phenotype	50% Whites 41% African-American 20% Chinese 8–10% Japanese 92% Egyptian	Sulfonamides ¹³⁵ Hydralazine ¹³⁸ Isoniazid ¹⁵¹
HLA A11		Amitryptilline, diclofenac, halothane ¹⁴⁴
HLA DR6		Chlorpromazine ¹⁴⁴ Nitrofurantoin ¹⁵²
HLA DRB*1501		Amoxicillin/clavulanate ^{122, 142}
HLA DR3, DR4, DR7		Statins ¹⁹

Table 5. Select examples of genetic polymorphisms associated with a possible increased risk of hepatotoxicity from specific drugs

manner and is characterized by a phenotype of poor metabolism of debrisoquine.¹²⁷ The prevalence of the poor metabolizer phenotype is 5–10% in Europe¹²⁸ and ethnic variation among 20 genotypes has been described.¹²⁹ CYP2C19 deficiency has been implicated in Atrium hepatotoxicity¹³⁰ and troglitazone hepatotoxicity.¹³¹ A recent Taiwan-based study demonstrated a higher risk of isoniazid hepatitis in wild-type CYP2E1 c1/c1 homozygotes compared with CYP2E1 c2/c2 or c2/c1 mutant genotypes.¹³²

Acetylation

Polymorphisms in the gene encoding *N*-acetyltransferase (NAT2) are responsible for the phenotypic classification of individuals as either slow acetylators (individuals with two defective NAT2 alleles) or rapid acetylators (those who are heterozygous or homozygous for wild-type NAT2). Ethnic variation in specific NAT2 mutations has been described.¹³³ Prevalence of the rapid acetylation phenotype is 30–60% in Western Europe and over 70% in Asia.¹³⁴ Slow acetylator status of NAT has been demonstrated to correlate with sulfonamide hepatotoxicity,^{135–137} hydralazine hepatotoxicity¹³⁸ and isoniazid hepatotoxicity.^{132, 139–141}

HLA haplotypes

HLA haplotypes which have been associated with drug-induced idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity are summarized in Table 5. In particular, amoxicillin/clavulanate

has been shown in two studies to be associated with HLA DRB*1501.^{122, 142} As noted earlier, recently reported cases of autoimmune hepatitis presumed due to statin use were associated with HLA-DR3, DR4 or DR7.¹⁹ HLA associations with drug hepatotoxicity appear to be specific to particular drugs rather than with drug hepatotoxicity in general;^{143, 144} however, one study showed an increased frequency of DRB1*15 and DQB1*06 alleles in individuals with cholestatic/mixed liver damage compared with healthy controls.¹⁴³

Multifactorial contributors

The association between acetylator status and CYP2E1 genotype with isoniazid hepatotoxicity¹³² demonstrates compound effects of different steps involved in drug metabolism. It is this same presence of different steps in metabolism that makes it difficult to identify genetic mutations that significantly contribute to drug hepatotoxicity. Genes which in theory might predict hepatotoxicity often do not translate to *in vivo* findings,^{145, 146} likely due in part to polygenic determinants where different steps are involved.^{147, 148} In addition, toxicity which is well described in one organ system may not translate to another system. For example, thiopurine methyltransferase deficiency status predicts haematological toxicity with azathioprine but has not been demonstrated to predict hepatotoxicity.^{149, 150} Continued efforts in defining inherited determinants of drug hepatotoxicity may eventually

pave the way towards tailored therapy and monitoring for toxicity.

CONCLUSIONS

Drug-induced hepatotoxicity will remain a problem that carries both clinical and regulatory significance as long as new drugs continue to enter the market. Unfortunately, recognizing toxicity of specific drugs is limited by the relatively rare overall incidence of hepatotoxicity as well as underreporting. Models of toxicity and genomic predictors hold potential promise in preventing toxicity before it occurs. Collaborative efforts such as the Drug-induced Liver Injury Network⁶

and Acute Liver Failure group may help contribute to our current understanding of hepatotoxicity associated with drugs. Administration of drugs in patients with underlying liver disease involves a balanced assessment of risk benefit ratio that may in fact favour judicious use when clear indications are present, as in the case of statins. Careful monitoring for drug interactions is especially important in patients who have undergone liver transplantation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Declaration of personal and funding interests: None.

REFERENCES

- Ostapowicz G, Lee WM. Acute hepatic failure: a Western perspective. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2000; 15: 480–8.
- Larrey D. Epidemiology and individual susceptibility to adverse drug reactions affecting the liver. *Semin Liver Dis* 2002; 22: 145–55.
- Andrade RJ, Lucena MI, Fernandez MC, et al. Drug-induced liver injury: an analysis of 461 incidences submitted to the Spanish registry over a 10-year period. *Gastroenterology* 2005; 129: 512–21.
- Danan G, Benichou C. Causality assessment of adverse reactions to drugs: I. A novel method based on the conclusions of international consensus meetings: application to drug-induced liver injuries. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1993; 46: 1323–30.
- Benichou C. Criteria of drug-induced liver disorders. Report of an international consensus meeting. *J Hepatol* 1990; 11: 272–6.
- Watkins PB, Seeff LB. Drug-induced liver injury: summary of a single topic clinical research conference. *Hepatology* 2006; 43: 618–31.
- Reuben A. Hy's law. *Hepatology* 2004; 39: 574–8.
- Bjornsson E. Drug-induced liver injury: Hy's rule revisited. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2006; 79: 521–8.
- Russo MW, Galanko JA, Shrestha R, Fried MW, Watkins P. Liver transplantation for acute liver failure from drug induced liver injury in the United States. *Liver Transpl* 2004; 10: 1018–23.
- Ostapowicz G, Fontana RJ, Schiodt FV, et al. Results of a prospective study of acute liver failure at 17 tertiary care centers in the United States. *Ann Intern Med* 2002; 17: 137.
- Navarro VJ, Senior JR. Drug-related hepatotoxicity. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 16: 354.
- Lee WM. Drug-induced hepatotoxicity. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 31: 349.
- Chitturi S, George J. Hepatotoxicity of commonly used drugs: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, antihypertensives, antidiabetic agents, anticonvulsants, lipid-lowering agents, psychotropic drugs. *Semin Liver Dis* 2002; 22: 169–83.
- Jacobson TA. Statin safety: lessons from new drug applications for marketed statins. *Am J Cardiol* 2006; 8A: 44C–51C.
- Tolman KG. The liver and lovastatin. *Am J Cardiol* 2002; 15: 89.
- ALLHAT Officers and Coordinators for the ALLHAT Collaborative Research Group. The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial. Major outcomes in moderately hypercholesterolemic, hypertensive patients randomized to pravastatin vs usual care: The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT-LLT). *JAMA* 2002; 288: 2998–3007.
- Pfeffer MA, Keech A, Sacks FM, et al. Safety and tolerability of pravastatin in long-term clinical trials: prospective Pravastatin Pooling (PPP) Project. *Circulation* 2002; 21: 105.
- Law M, Rudnicka AR. Statin safety: a systematic review. *Am J Cardiol* 2006; 8A: 52C–60C.
- Alla V, Abraham J, Siddiqui J, et al. Autoimmune hepatitis triggered by statins. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2006; 40: 757–61.
- Khosla R, Butman AN, Hammer DF. Simvastatin-induced lupus erythematosus. *South Med J* 1998; 91: 873–4.
- Pelli N, Setti M, Ceppa P, Toncini C, Indiveri F. Autoimmune hepatitis revealed by atorvastatin. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2003; 15: 921–4.
- Graziadei IW, Obermoser GE, Sepp NT, Erhart KH, Vogel W. Drug-induced lupus-like syndrome associated with severe autoimmune hepatitis. *Lupus* 2003; 12: 409–12.
- Wolters LM, Van Buuren HR. Rosuvastatin-associated hepatitis with autoimmune features. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2005; 17: 589–90.
- Chalasanani N, Aljadhey H, Kesterson J, Murray MD, Hall SD. Patients with elevated liver enzymes are not at higher risk for statin hepatotoxicity. *Gastroenterology* 2004; 126: 1287–92.
- Browning JD. Statins and hepatic steatosis: perspectives from the Dallas Heart Study. *Hepatology* 2006; 44: 466–71.
- Khorashadi S, Hasson NK, Cheung RC. Incidence of statin hepatotoxicity in patients with hepatitis C. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006; 4: 902–7.
- Imagawa DK, Dawson S 3rd, Holt CD, et al. Hyperlipidemia after liver transplantation: natural history and treatment with the hydroxy-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase inhibitor pravastatin. *Transplantation* 1996; 15: 62.
- Reuben A. Long-term management of the liver transplant patient: diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and obesity. *Liver Transpl* 2001; 11: S13–21.

- 29 Taylor PJ, Kubler PA, Lynch SV, Allen J, Butler M, Pillans PI. Effect of atorvastatin on cyclosporine pharmacokinetics in liver transplant recipients. *Ann Pharmacother* 2004; **38**: 205–8.
- 30 Propst A, Propst T, Lechleitner M, *et al*. Hypercholesterolemia in primary biliary cirrhosis is no risk factor for atherosclerosis. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993; **38**: 379–80.
- 31 Crippin JS, Lindor KD, Jorgensen R, *et al*. Hypercholesterolemia and atherosclerosis in primary biliary cirrhosis: what is the risk? *Hepatology* 1992; **15**: 858–62.
- 32 Ritzel U, Leonhardt U, Nather M, Schafer G, Armstrong VW, Ramadori G. Simvastatin in primary biliary cirrhosis: effects on serum lipids and distinct disease markers. *J Hepatol* 2002; **36**: 454–8.
- 33 National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert panel on detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *Circulation* 2002; **25**: 3143–421.
- 34 Cohen DE, Anania FA, Chalasani N, National Lipid Association Statin Safety Expert Panel. Task force liver an assessment of statin safety by hepatologists. *Am J Cardiol* 2006; **8A**: 77C–81C.
- 35 Chalasani N. Statins and hepatotoxicity: focus on patients with fatty liver. *Hepatology* 2005; **41**: 690–5.
- 36 Sniderman AD. Is there value in liver function test and creatine phosphokinase monitoring with statin use? *Am J Cardiol* 2004; **4**(Suppl): 30F–4F.
- 37 Rallidis LS, Drakoulis CK, Parasi AS. Pravastatin in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: results of a pilot study. *Atherosclerosis* 2004; **174**: 193–6.
- 38 Horlander JC, Kwo PY, Cummings OW. Atorvastatin for the treatment of NASH. *Gastroenterology* 2001; **120**: A544.
- 39 Ikeda M, Abe K, Yamada M, Dansako H, Naka K, Kato N. Different anti-HCV profiles of statins and their potential for combination therapy with interferon. *Hepatology* 2006; **44**: 117–25.
- 40 Raggatt LJ, Partridge NC. HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors as immunomodulators: potential use in transplant rejection. *Drugs* 2002; **62**: 2185–91.
- 41 Palinski W, Tsimikas S. Immunomodulatory effects of statins: mechanisms and potential impact on arteriosclerosis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2002; **13**: 1673–81.
- 42 *Zetia Prescribing Information*. North Wales, PA: Merck-Schering Plough, 2006.
- 43 Stolk MF, Bexx MC, Kuypers KC, Seldenrijk CA. Severe hepatic side effects of ezetimibe. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006; **4**: 908–11.
- 44 Dujovne CA, Ettinger MP, McNeer JF, *et al*. Efficacy and safety of a potent new selective cholesterol absorption inhibitor, ezetimibe, in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. *Am J Cardiol* 2002; **15**: 90.
- 45 Gagne C, Bays HE, Weiss SR, *et al*. Efficacy and safety of ezetimibe added to ongoing statin therapy for treatment of patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. *Am J Cardiol* 2002; **15**: 90.
- 46 Ballantyne CM, Abate N, Yuan Z, King TR, Palmisano J. Dose-comparison study of the combination of ezetimibe and simvastatin (Vytorin) vs. atorvastatin in patients with hypercholesterolemia: the Vytorin Vs. Atorvastatin (VYVA) study. *Am Heart J* 2005; **149**: 464–73.
- 47 Stein E, Stender S, Mata P, *et al*. Achieving lipoprotein goals in patients at high risk with severe hypercholesterolemia: efficacy and safety of ezetimibe co-administered with atorvastatin. *Am Heart J* 2004; **148**: 447–55.
- 48 McKenney JM, Proctor JD, Harris S, Chin-chili VM. A comparison of the efficacy and toxic effects of sustained- vs immediate-release niacin in hypercholesterolemic patients. *JAMA* 1994; **2**: 271.
- 49 Madariaga MG. Drug-related hepatotoxicity. *N Engl J Med* 2006; **3**: 2191–3 (author reply).
- 50 Graham DJ, Green L, Senior JR, Nourjah P. Troglitazone-induced liver failure: a case study. *Am J Med* 2003; **114**: 299–306.
- 51 Maeda K. Hepatocellular injury in a patient receiving pioglitazone. *Ann Intern Med* 2001; **21**: 135.
- 52 Marcy TR, Britton ML, Blevins SM. Second-generation thiazolidinediones and hepatotoxicity. *Ann Pharmacother* 2004; **38**: 1419–23.
- 53 Forman LM, Simmons DA, Diamond RH. Hepatic failure in a patient taking rosiglitazone. *Ann Intern Med* 2000; **18**: 132.
- 54 Al-Salman J, Arjomand H, Kemp DG, Mittal M. Hepatocellular injury in a patient receiving rosiglitazone. A case report. *Ann Intern Med* 2000; **18**: 132.
- 55 Ravinuthala RS, Nori U. Rosiglitazone toxicity. *Ann Intern Med* 2000; **17**: 133.
- 56 Chase MP, Yarze JC. Pioglitazone-associated fulminant hepatic failure. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002; **97**: 502–3.
- 57 Dhawan M, Agrawal R, Ravi J, *et al*. Rosiglitazone-induced granulomatous hepatitis. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2002; **34**: 582–4.
- 58 Gouda HE, Khan A, Schwartz J, Cohen RI. Liver failure in a patient treated with long-term rosiglitazone therapy. *Am J Med* 2001; **111**: 584–5.
- 59 GlaxoSmithKline Pharmaceuticals. *Avandia (Rosiglitazone Maleate) Tablets. Prescribing Information*. Research Triangle Park, NC: GlaxoSmithKline Pharmaceuticals, 2006.
- 60 *Actos (Pioglitazone) Prescribing Information*. Deerfield, IL: Takeda Pharmaceuticals, 2006.
- 61 Lenhard MJ, Funk WB. Failure to develop hepatic injury from rosiglitazone in a patient with a history of troglitazone-induced hepatitis. *Diabetes Care* 2001; **24**: 168–9.
- 62 Caldwell SH, Argo CK, Al-Osaimi AM. Therapy of NAFLD: insulin sensitizing agents. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2006; **3**: S61–6.
- 63 Caldwell SH, Hespeneheide EE, Redick JA, Iezzoni JC, Battle EH, Sheppard BL. A pilot study of a thiazolidinedione, troglitazone, in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001; **96**: 519–25.
- 64 Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Brunt EM, Wehmeier KR, Oliver D, Bacon BR. Improved nonalcoholic steatohepatitis after 48 weeks of treatment with the PPAR-gamma ligand rosiglitazone. *Hepatology* 2003; **38**: 1008–17.
- 65 Sanyal AJ, Mofrad PS, Contos MJ, *et al*. A pilot study of vitamin E vs. vitamin E and pioglitazone for the treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004; **2**: 1107–15.
- 66 Promrat K, Lutchman G, Uwaifo GI, *et al*. A pilot study of pioglitazone treatment for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology* 2004; **39**: 188–96.
- 67 Belfort R, Harrison SA, Brown K, *et al*. A placebo-controlled trial of pioglitazone in subjects with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *N Engl J Med* 2006; **30**: 355.
- 68 Chalasani N, Teal E, Hall SD. Effect of rosiglitazone on serum liver biochemistries in diabetic patients with normal and elevated baseline liver enzymes. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005; **100**: 1317–21.
- 69 Lebovitz HE, Kreider M, Freed MI. Evaluation of liver function in type 2 diabetic patients during clinical trials:

- evidence that rosiglitazone does not cause hepatic dysfunction. *Diabetes Care* 2002; 25: 815–21.
- 70 Villanueva G, Baldwin D. Rosiglitazone therapy of posttransplant diabetes mellitus. *Transplantation* 2005; 27: 80.
- 71 Deutsch M, Kountouras D, Dourakis SP. Metformin hepatotoxicity. *Ann Intern Med* 2004; 2: 140.
- 72 Desilets DJ, Shorr AF, Moran KA, Holtzmuller KC. Cholestatic jaundice associated with the use of metformin. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001; 96: 2257–8.
- 73 Babich MM, Pike I, Shiffman ML. Metformin-induced acute hepatitis. *Am J Med* 1998; 104: 490–2.
- 74 Brown JB, Pedula K, Barzilay J, Herson MK, Latare P. Lactic acidosis rates in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 1998; 21: 1659–63.
- 75 Misbin RI, Green L, Stadel BV, Gueriguan JL, Gubbi A, Fleming GA. Lactic acidosis in patients with diabetes treated with metformin. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 22: 338.
- 76 Nunez M. Hepatotoxicity of antiretrovirals: incidence, mechanisms and management. *J Hepatol* 2006; 1: S132–9.
- 77 Kontorinis N, Dieterich D. Hepatotoxicity of antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS Rev* 2003; 5: 36–43.
- 78 Kramer JR, Giordano TP, Soucek J, El-Serag HB. Hepatitis C coinfection increases the risk of fulminant hepatic failure in patients with HIV in the HAART era. *J Hepatol* 2005; 42: 309–14.
- 79 Puoti M, Torti C, Ripamonti D, et al. Severe hepatotoxicity during combination antiretroviral treatment: incidence, liver histology, and outcome. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2003; 1: 32.
- 80 AIDS Clinical Trials Group. *Table of grading severity of adult adverse experiences*. Rockville, MD: Division of AIDS, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease, Bethesda 1996.
- 81 Wit FW, Weverling GJ, Weel J, Jurrians S, Lange JM. Incidence of and risk factors for severe hepatotoxicity associated with antiretroviral combination therapy. *J Infect Dis* 2002; 1: 186.
- 82 Danner SA, Carr A, Leonard JM, et al. A short-term study of the safety, pharmacokinetics, and efficacy of ritonavir, an inhibitor of HIV-1 protease. European-Australian Collaborative Ritonavir Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1995; 7: 333.
- 83 Markowitz M, Saag M, Powderly WG, et al. A preliminary study of ritonavir, an inhibitor of HIV-1 protease, to treat HIV-1 infection. *N Engl J Med* 1995; 7: 333.
- 84 Cameron DW, Heath-Chiozzi M, Danner S, et al. Randomised placebo-controlled trial of ritonavir in advanced HIV-1 disease. The Advanced HIV Disease Ritonavir Study Group. *Lancet* 1998; 21: 351.
- 85 Cooper CL, Parbhakar MA, Angel JB. Hepatotoxicity associated with antiretroviral therapy containing dual vs. single protease inhibitors in individuals coinfecting with hepatitis C virus and human immunodeficiency virus. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002; 1: 34.
- 86 Department of Health and Human Services Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents – A Working Group of the Office of AIDS Research Advisory Council (OARAC). Available at: www.aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf (last accessed 22 March 2007).
- 87 Dong BJ, Cocohoba JM. Tipranavir: a protease inhibitor for HIV salvage therapy. *Ann Pharmacother* 2006; 40: 1311–21.
- 88 Zucker SD, Qin X, Rouster SD, et al. Mechanism of indinavir-induced hyperbilirubinemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001; 23: 98.
- 89 Rotger M, Taffe P, Bleiber G, et al. Gilbert syndrome and the development of antiretroviral therapy-associated hyperbilirubinemia. *J Infect Dis* 2005; 15: 192.
- 90 Boyd MA, Srasuebku P, Ruxrungtham K, et al. Relationship between hyperbilirubinaemia and UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A1 (UGT1A1) polymorphism in adult HIV-infected Thai patients treated with indinavir. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 2006; 16: 321–9.
- 91 Aceti A, Pasquazzi C, Zechini B, De Bac C, LIVERHAART Group. Hepatotoxicity development during antiretroviral therapy containing protease inhibitors in patients with HIV: the role of hepatitis B and C virus infection. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2002; 1: 29.
- 92 Ogedegbe AE, Thomas DL, Diehl AM. Hyperlactataemia syndromes associated with HIV therapy. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2003; 3: 329–37.
- 93 Chariot P, Drogou I, de Lacroix-Szmania I, et al. Zidovudine-induced mitochondrial disorder with massive liver steatosis, myopathy, lactic acidosis, and mitochondrial DNA depletion. *J Hepatol* 1999; 30: 156–60.
- 94 Mokrzycki MH, Harris C, May H, Laut J, Palmisano J. Lactic acidosis associated with stavudine administration: a report of five cases. *Clin Infect Dis* 2000; 30: 198–200.
- 95 Kakuda TN. Pharmacology of nucleoside and nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor-induced mitochondrial toxicity. *Clin Ther* 2000; 22: 685–708.
- 96 Perronne C. Antiviral hepatitis and antiretroviral drug interactions. *J Hepatol* 2006; 1: S119–25.
- 97 Baylor MS, Johann-Liang R. Hepatotoxicity associated with nevirapine use. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2004; 15: 35.
- 98 Martinez E, Blanco JL, Arnaiz JA, et al. Hepatotoxicity in HIV-1-infected patients receiving nevirapine-containing antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS* 2001; 6: 15.
- 99 Bonnet F, Lawson-Ayayi S, Thiebaut R, et al. A cohort study of nevirapine tolerance in clinical practice: French Aquitaine Cohort, 1997–1999. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002; 15: 35.
- 100 Sulkowski MS, Thomas DL, Mehta SH, Chaisson RE, Moore RD. Hepatotoxicity associated with nevirapine or efavirenz-containing antiretroviral therapy: role of hepatitis C and B infections. *Hepatology* 2002; 35: 182–9.
- 101 van Leth F, Phanuphak P, Ruxrungtham K, et al. Comparison of first-line antiretroviral therapy with regimens including nevirapine, efavirenz, or both drugs, plus stavudine and lamivudine: a randomised open-label trial, the 2NN Study. *Lancet* 2004; 17: 363.
- 102 Martin-Carbonero L, Nunez M, Gonzalez-Lahoz J, Soriano V. Incidence of liver injury after beginning antiretroviral therapy with efavirenz or nevirapine. *HIV Clin Trials* 2003; 4: 115–20.
- 103 Palmon R, Koo BC, Shoultz DA, Dieterich DT. Lack of hepatotoxicity associated with nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2002; 1: 29.
- 104 Clarke S, Harrington P, Barry M, Mulcahy F. The tolerability of efavirenz after nevirapine-related adverse events. *Clin Infect Dis* 2000; 31: 806–7.
- 105 Sulkowski MS, Thomas DL, Chaisson RE, Moore RD. Hepatotoxicity associated with antiretroviral therapy in adults infected with human immunodeficiency virus and the role of hepatitis C or B virus infection. *JAMA* 2000; 5: 283.
- 106 Bonfanti P, Landonio S, Ricci E, et al. Risk factors for hepatotoxicity in

- patients treated with highly active anti-retroviral therapy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2001; 1: 27.
- 107 den Brinker M, Wit FW, Wertheim-van Dillen PM, *et al.* Hepatitis B and C virus co-infection and the risk for hepatotoxicity of highly active antiretroviral therapy in HIV-1 infection. *AIDS* 2000; 22: 14.
- 108 Bica I, McGovern B, Dhar R, *et al.* Increasing mortality due to end-stage liver disease in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001; 1: 32.
- 109 Jain AK, Venkataramanan R, Fridell JA, *et al.* Nelfinavir, a protease inhibitor, increases sirolimus levels in a liver transplantation patient: a case report. *Liver Transpl* 2002; 8: 838-40.
- 110 Moreno S, Fortun J, Quereda C, *et al.* Liver transplantation in HIV-infected recipients. *Liver Transpl* 2005; 11: 76-81.
- 111 Schonder KS, Shullo MA, Okusanya O. Tacrolimus and lopinavir/ritonavir interaction in liver transplantation. *Ann Pharmacother* 2003; 37: 1793-6.
- 112 Jain AK, Venkataramanan R, Shapiro R, *et al.* The interaction between antiretroviral agents and tacrolimus in liver and kidney transplant patients. *Liver Transpl* 2002; 8: 841-5.
- 113 Galan MV, Potts JA, Silverman AL, Gordon SC. The burden of acute non-fulminant drug-induced hepatitis in a United States tertiary referral center [corrected]. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2005; 39: 64-7.
- 114 Sgro C, Clinard F, Ouazir K, *et al.* Incidence of drug-induced hepatic injuries: a French population-based study. *Hepatology* 2002; 36: 451-5.
- 115 Aithal PG, Day CP. The natural history of histologically proved drug induced liver disease. *Gut* 1999; 44: 731-5.
- 116 Larrey D, Vial T, Micaleff A, *et al.* Hepatitis associated with amoxicillin-clavulanic acid combination report of 15 cases. *Gut* 1992; 33: 368-71.
- 117 Reddy KR, Brillant P, Schiff ER. Amoxicillin-clavulanate potassium-associated cholestasis. *Gastroenterology* 1989; 96: 1135-41.
- 118 Larrey D, Vial T, Micaleff A, *et al.* Hepatitis associated with amoxicillin-clavulanic acid combination report of 15 cases. *Gut* 1992; 33: 368-71.
- 119 Hautekeete ML, Horsmans Y, Van Wae-
yenberge C, *et al.* HLA association of amoxicillin-clavulanate-induced hepatitis. *Gastroenterology* 1999; 117: 1181-6.
- 120 Lucena MI, Andrade RJ, Fernandez MC, *et al.* Determinants of the clinical expression of amoxicillin-clavulanate hepatotoxicity: a prospective series from Spain. *Hepatology* 2006; 44: 850-6.
- 121 Richardet JP, Mallat A, Zafrani ES, Blazquez M, Bognel JC, Campillo B. Prolonged cholestasis with ductopenia after administration of amoxicillin/clavulanic acid. *Dig Dis Sci* 1999; 44: 1997-2000.
- 122 Hautekeete ML, Horsmans Y, Van Wae-
yenberge C, *et al.* HLA association of amoxicillin-clavulanate-induced hepatitis. *Gastroenterology* 1999; 117: 1181-6.
- 123 *Telithromycin (Ketek) Product Information*, Bridgewater, NJ: Sanofi-Aventis, 2006.
- 124 Clay KD, Hanson JS, Pope SD, Rissmiller RW, Purdum PP 3rd, Banks PM. Brief communication: severe hepatotoxicity of telithromycin: three case reports and literature review. *Ann Intern Med* 2006; 21: 144.
- 125 Graham DJ. Telithromycin and acute liver failure. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 23: 355.
- 126 Weinshilboum R. Inheritance and drug response. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 6: 348.
- 127 Morgan MY, Reshef R, Shah RR, Oates NS, Smith RL, Sherlock S. Impaired oxidation of debrisoquine in patients with perhexiline liver injury. *Gut* 1984; 25: 1057-64.
- 128 Alvan G, Bechtel P, Iselius L, Gundert-Remy U. Hydroxylation polymorphisms of debrisoquine and mephenytoin in European populations. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1990; 39: 533-7.
- 129 Shimada T, Tsumura F, Yamazaki H, Guengerich FP, Inoue K. Characterization of (+/-)-bufuralol hydroxylation activities in liver microsomes of Japanese and Caucasian subjects genotyped for CYP2D6. *Pharmacogenetics* 2001; 11: 143-56.
- 130 Horsmans Y, Lannes D, Pessayre D, Larrey D. Possible association between poor metabolism of mephenytoin and hepatotoxicity caused by Atrium, a fixed combination preparation containing phenobarbital, febarbamate and difebarbamate. *J Hepatol* 1994; 21: 1075-9.
- 131 Kumashiro R, Kubota T, Iga T, *et al.* Homozygous mutation of cytochrome P-450 2C19 is associated with troglitazone-induced hepatitis. *Hepatology* 2000; 32: 197A.
- 132 Huang YS, Chern HD, Su WJ, *et al.* Cytochrome P450 2E1 genotype and the susceptibility to antituberculosis drug-induced hepatitis. *Hepatology* 2003; 37: 924-30.
- 133 Lin HJ, Han CY, Lin BK, Hardy S. Ethnic distribution of slow acetylator mutations in the polymorphic N-acetyltransferase (NAT2) gene. *Pharmacogenetics* 1994; 4: 125-34.
- 134 Weber WW, Hein DW. N-Acetylation pharmacogenetics. *Pharmacol Rev* 1985; 37: 25-79.
- 135 Shear NH, Spielberg SP, Grant DM, Tang BK, Kalow W. Differences in metabolism of sulfonamides predisposing to idiosyncratic toxicity. *Ann Intern Med* 1986; 105: 179-84.
- 136 Rieder MJ, Shear NH, Kanee A, Tang BK, Spielberg SP. Prominence of slow acetylator phenotype among patients with sulfonamide hypersensitivity reactions. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1991; 49: 13-7.
- 137 Wolkenstein P, Carriere V, Charue D, *et al.* A slow acetylator genotype is a risk factor for sulphonamide-induced toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome. *Pharmacogenetics* 1995; 5: 255-8.
- 138 Bourdi M, Tinel M, Beaune PH, Pessayre D. Interactions of dihydralazine with cytochromes P4501A: a possible explanation for the appearance of anti-cytochrome P4501A2 autoantibodies. *Mol Pharmacol* 1994; 45: 1287-95.
- 139 Evans DA, Manley KA, McKusick VA. Genetic control of isoniazid metabolism in man. *Br Med J* 1960; 13: 2.
- 140 Gronhagen-Riska C, Hellstrom PE, Fros-
eth B. Predisposing factors in hepatitis induced by isoniazid-rifampin treatment of tuberculosis. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1978; 118: 461-6.
- 141 Parthasarathy R, Sarma GR, Janardhanam B, *et al.* Hepatic toxicity in South Indian patients during treatment of tuberculosis with short-course regimens containing isoniazid, rifampicin and pyrazinamide. *Tubercle* 1986; 67: 99-108.
- 142 O'Donohue J, Oien KA, Donaldson P, *et al.* Co-amoxiclav jaundice: clinical and histological features and HLA class II association. *Gut* 2000; 47: 717-20.
- 143 Andrade RJ, Lucena MI, Alonso A, *et al.* HLA class II genotype influences the type of liver injury in drug-induced idiosyncratic liver disease. *Hepatology* 2004; 39: 1603-12.

- 144 Berson A, Freneaux E, Larrey D, *et al.* Possible role of HLA in hepatotoxicity. An exploratory study in 71 patients with drug-induced idiosyncratic hepatitis. *J Hepatol* 1994; 20: 336–42.
- 145 Haas DW, Bartlett JA, Andersen JW, *et al.* Pharmacogenetics of nevirapine-associated hepatotoxicity: an Adult AIDS Clinical Trials Group collaboration. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; 15: 43.
- 146 Aithal GP, Day CP, Leathart JB, Daly AK. Relationship of polymorphism in CYP2C9 to genetic susceptibility to diclofenac-induced hepatitis. *Pharmacogenetics* 2000; 10: 511–8.
- 147 Evans WE, McLeod HL. Pharmacogenomics – drug disposition, drug targets, and side effects. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 6: 348.
- 148 Larrey D, Pageaux GP. Genetic predisposition to drug-induced hepatotoxicity. *J Hepatol* 1997; 26: 12–21.
- 149 Schwab M, Schaffeler E, Marx C, *et al.* Azathioprine therapy and adverse drug reactions in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: impact of thiopurine S-methyltransferase polymorphism. *Pharmacogenetics* 2002; 12: 429–36.
- 150 Bastida G, Nos P, Aguas M, *et al.* Incidence, risk factors and clinical course of thiopurine-induced liver injury in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005; 1: 22.
- 151 Huang YS, Chern HD, Su WJ, *et al.* Polymorphism of the N-acetyltransferase 2 gene as a susceptibility risk factor for antituberculosis drug-induced hepatitis. *Hepatology* 2002; 35: 883–9.
- 152 Stricker BH, Blok AP, Claas FH, Van Parys GE, Desmet VJ. Hepatic injury associated with the use of nitrofurans: a clinicopathological study of 52 reported cases. *Hepatology* 1988; 8: 599–606.